Dingy Output

Tests of Distinguishability and Nonindependence November 27, 2015

1. Text

CAUTION: If you do decide to use information contained here in a paper, please make sure that you acknowledge that you have used this program. Also should you decide to use the exact text included here, you will need to put quotes around that material to avoid plagiarism. Although great effort has been undertaken to ensure the accuracy of results, no complete guarantee can be about their accuracy. It is your responsibility to check the results and text for accuracy. If you do find an error, please report it to David A. Kenny.

Tests of Distinguishability

The focus is to determine whether Gender makes a statistical difference in the data, and if it does, what is that difference. That is, are there differences between Husbands and Wives for the mixed variables Other Positivity, Self Positivity, Similar Hobbies, and Satisfaction, the between-dyads variable Years Married, and the within-dyads variable Tension Difference? There are 148 dyads in the sample and no missing data. The mixed variables in the dataset for Husbands are OtherPos_H, SelfPos_H, SimHob_H, and Satisfaction_H, the variables for Wives are OtherPos_W, SelfPos_W, SimHob_W, and Satisfaction_W, and their names for the text are Other Positivity, Self Positivity, Similar Hobbies, and Satisfaction. The between-dyads variable in the dataset is yearsmar and its name for the text is Years Married. The within-dyads variable in the dataset for Husbands is Tdiff_H and for Wives is Tdiff_W, and its name in the text is Tension Difference. The analyses employ the method of structural equation modeling using the computer program of lavaan. The means and standard deviations of each variable for both Husbands and Wives are presented in Table 1. Note that the estimates are maximum likelihood estimates and so the standard deviations are a bit larger than conventional estimates.

There are three ways in which Gender can make a difference. They are differences between the variables in their means, in their variances, and differences between correlations between the two variables. To test if correlations differ, the variances must be set equal for the two members. Note too that the correlations may differ, but cross-variable effects (e.g., actor and partner effects in the Actor-Partner Interdependence Model) might not differ. For a within-dyads variable the correlations whould be equal across members but their signs would be different. For instance, the means and variances of Other Positivity might differ for Husbands and Wives. Also for the within-dyads variable of Tension Difference the means for both Husbands and Wives would not both equal 2.000. For correlation, an example is that the correlations between Other Positivity and Self Positivity might be different for Husbands and Wives. Another example is that the correlations between Other Positivity and Years Married or with Tension Difference might be different for Husbands and Wives.

Tables 2 and 3 provide the measures of fit for five models which allow for different types of distinguishability and also there is a table of the tests of hypotheses. (To learn about measures of fit go to davidakenny.net/cm/fit.htm -- reverse the slashes. The RMSEA must be less than 0.08 to be considered a good-fitting model.) To begin, the test that the means for each variable are equal (Model I versus Model II) is statistically significant (chi-square(5) = 46.58, p < .001). Thus, there is evidence that the means are unequal. Next, the test of whether the correlations between pairs of variables are equal (Model I versus Model III) is not statistically significant (chi-square(20) = 18.52, p = .553). Thus, the data are consistent with the hypothesis that the correlations are equal, given that the variances are equal. Lastly, the test that the variances are equal (Model IV versus Model V) is statistically significant (chi-square(4) = 10.10, p = .039). Thus, there is evidence that the variances are unequal. In terms of fit indices, the Means Unequal Model or Model II appears to be the best model as it has the lowest value of the RMSEA and the SABIC.

The model of complete indistinguishability is called the I-Sat model by Olsen and Kenny (2006) and that model has a chi square of 75.636 with 29 degrees of freedom. The null model for the indistinguishable case (the model that fixes all correlations to zero, but frees the means and variances and sets them equal across the two members) is 299.528 with 44 degrees of freedom.

Test of Nonindependence

Additionally, the question is whether the scores of the Husbands and Wives are correlated, i.e., nonindependent. There are 16 correlations between the scores of Husbands and Wives, and the null hypothesis is that these correlations are all zero. The effects due to the between-dyads variables have been removed in tests of nonindependence. Table 4 contains the results from these tests. (Note that SABIC(Sat) refers to the SABIC for the saturated model. Also for the RMSEA 0.08 is used as the cutoff for a good fitting model. Treating dyad members as distinguishable, there is good evidence that there is nonindependence or correlation between the scores of Husbands and Wives. Alternatively, if we treat dyad members as indistinguishable, there is good evidence that there is nonindependence or correlation between the scores of Husbands and Wives.

2. Tables

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics for Husbands and Wives

Person	Husbands		Wives	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Other Positivity	4.281	0.472	4.246	0.521
Self Positivity	4.082	0.389	4.291	0.408
Similar Hobbies	-0.034	0.682	0.189	0.585
Satisfaction	3.618	0.460	3.591	0.528
Years Married	-0.000	7.694	-0.000	7.694
Tension Difference	1.821	0.782	2.179	0.782

Table 2: Tests of Different Types of Distinguishability

Model	Equal	Means	Equal	Variances	Equal	Correlations	chi	square	df	р	RMSEA	SABIC
I		Yes		Yes		Yes		75.636	29	<.001	0.104	121.451
II		No		Yes		Yes		29.053	24	.218	0.038	84.031
III		Yes		Yes		No		57.115	9	<.001	0.190	139.582
IV		No		Yes		No		10.096	4	.039	0.101	101.725
V		No		No		No			0			98.959

Table 3: Tests of Hypotheses of Different Types of Distinguishability

2

	Test	chi	square	df	р	value
Means	I versus II		46.583	5		<.001
Correlations	I versus III		18.521	20		.553
Variances	IV versus V		10.096	4		.039

Table 4: Tests of Nonindependence across Husbands and Wives

	chi	square	df	р	value	RMSEA	SABIC	SABIC(Sat)
Distinguishable		115.362	16		<.001	0.205	185.000	98.959
Indistinguishable		102.966	10		<.001	0.251	130.454	45.815

3. lavaan Output

Test of Distinguishability or the I-SAT Model

lavaan (0.5-16) converged normally after 353 iterations

Number of observations	148
Number of missing patterns	1
Estimator	ML
Minimum Function Test Statistic	75.636
Degrees of freedom	29
P-value (Chi-square)	0.000

	lhs	op	rhs	label	est	se	Z	pvalue
1	OtherPos_W	~1		m1	4.264	0.032	1.32841e+02	0.000
2	OtherPos_H	~1		m1	4.264	0.032	1.32841e+02	0.000
3	OtherPos_W	~ ~	OtherPos_W	v1	0.248	0.021	1.18520e+01	0.000
4	OtherPos_H	~ ~	OtherPos_H	v1	0.248	0.021	1.18520e+01	0.000
5	OtherPos_W	~ ~	OtherPos_H		0.057	0.021	2.74400e+00	0.006
6	SelfPos_W	~1		m2	4.186	0.025	1.68056e+02	0.000
7	SelfPos_H	~1		m2	4.186	0.025	1.68056e+02	0.000
8	SelfPos_W	~ ~	SelfPos_W	v2	0.170	0.014	1.21230e+01	0.000
9	SelfPos_H	~ ~	SelfPos_H	v2	0.170	0.014	1.21230e+01	0.000
10	SelfPos_W	~ ~	SelfPos_H		0.014	0.014	1.01100e+00	0.312
11	SimHob_W	~1		mЗ	0.078	0.042	1.83300e+00	0.067
12	SimHob_H	~1		mЗ	0.078	0.042	1.83300e+00	0.067
13	SimHob_W	~ ~	SimHob_W	v3	0.416	0.036	1.17220e+01	0.000
14	SimHob_H	~ ~	SimHob_H	v3	0.416	0.036	1.17220e+01	0.000
15	SimHob_W	~ ~	SimHob_H		0.116	0.036	3.25500e+00	0.001
16	${\tt Satisfaction_W}$	~1		m4	3.605	0.037	9.84310e+01	0.000
17	${\tt Satisfaction_H}$	~1		m4	3.605	0.037	9.84310e+01	0.000
18	${\tt Satisfaction_W}$	~ ~	${\tt Satisfaction_W}$	v4	0.246	0.024	1.03560e+01	0.000
19	${\tt Satisfaction_H}$	~ ~	${\tt Satisfaction_H}$	v4	0.246	0.024	1.03560e+01	0.000
20	${\tt Satisfaction_W}$	~ ~	${\tt Satisfaction_H}$		0.151	0.024	6.38300e+00	0.000
21	OtherPos_H	~ ~	SelfPos_W	c12	0.050	0.013	3.89800e+00	0.000

22	OtherPos_W	~ ~	Se	lfPos_H	c12	0.050	0.013	3.89800e+00	0.000
23	OtherPos_H	~ ~	Se	lfPos_H	p12	0.050	0.013	3.95100e+00	0.000
24	OtherPos_W	~ ~	Se	lfPos_W	p12	0.050	0.013	3.95100e+00	0.000
25	OtherPos_H	~ ~	S	imHob_W	c13	0.049	0.020	2.48800e+00	0.013
26	OtherPos_W	~ ~	S	imHob_H	c13	0.049	0.020	2.48800e+00	0.013
27	OtherPos_H	~ ~	S	imHob_H	p13	0.057	0.020	2.89900e+00	0.004
28	OtherPos_W	~ ~	S	imHob_W	p13	0.057	0.020	2.89900e+00	0.004
29	OtherPos H	~ ~	Satisfa	ction W	c14	0.094	0.018	5.35400e+00	0.000
30	_ OtherPos W	~ ~	Satisfa	ction H	c14	0.094	0.018	5.35400e+00	0.000
31	OtherPos H	~ ~	Satisfa	ction H	p14	0.116	0.018	6.56900e+00	0.000
32	_ OtherPos W	~ ~	Satisfa	ction W	p14	0.116	0.018	6.56900e+00	0.000
33	SelfPos H	~ ~	S	imHob W	c23	0.001	0.016	6.70000e-02	0.946
34	SelfPos W	~ ~	S	imHob H	c23	0.001	0.016	6.70000e-02	0.946
35	SelfPos H	~ ~	S	imHob H	p23	0.016	0.016	1.01700e+00	0.309
36	SelfPos W	~ ~	ŝ	imHob W	n23	0.016	0.016	1.01700e+00	0.309
37	SelfPos H	~ ~	Satisfa	ction W	c24	0.012	0.012	9.97000e-01	0.319
38	SelfPos W	~ ~	Satisfa	ction H	c24	0 012	0 012	9 97000 e -01	0.319
30	SelfPos H	~ ~	Satisfa	ction H	n24	0.012	0.012	3 00800e+00	0 003
40	SelfPos W	~ ~	Satisfa	ction W	p24 n24	0.007	0.012	3 00800e+00	0.003
<u>4</u> 0 Δ1	SimHob H	~ ~	Satisfa	ction W	24 c34	0.007	0.012	3 38500e+00	0.000
42	SimHob W	~ ~	Satisfa	ction H	c34	0.072	0.021	3.38500e+00	0.001
12	SimHob H	~ ~	Satisfa	ction H	n34	0.012	0.021	4 31300o+00	0.001
43 A A	SimHob W	~~	Satisfa	ction W	p34	0.092	0.021	4.313000+00	0.000
44	STIILOD_W	1	Satisia	ICCION_W	p34 mm1	-0 117	0.021	4.313000+00	0.000
40	yearsmar				····· 1	-0.117	6 964	-1.03000e-01	0.000
40	yearsmar OthorDog H	~~	у	earsmar		0 5120	0.004	0.01400e+00	0.000
41 10	OtherPos_H	~~	у	earsmar		0.513	0.250	2.05600e+00	0.040
40	ColfDog H		у		0011	0.013	0.200	2.00000e+00	0.040
49	SelfPos_H	~~	у	earsmar		0.247	0.193	1.20200e+00	0.200
50	SellPos_w	~~	у	earsmar		0.247	0.193	1.202000+00	0.200
51	SimHob_H	~~	У	earsmar	CC13	-0.440	0.328	-1.34300e+00	0.179
52	SimHoD_W	~~	У	earsmar	CC13	-0.440	0.328	-1.34300e+00	0.179
53	Satisfaction_H	~~	У	earsmar	CC14	-0.005	0.281	-1.90000e-02	0.985
54	Satisfaction_W	~~	У	earsmar	CC14	-0.005	0.281	-1.90000e-02	0.985
55		~1		m l.cc II	mmm 1	2.000	0.000	7.70398e+08	0.000
50	IdlII_H	~~		IdlII_H	7771	0.646	0.075	8.57200e+00	0.000
51	yearsmar	~~		IdlII_H		-0.494	0.471	-1.04800e+00	0.295
58	UtherPos_H	~~		IdlII_H	CCCII	-0.032	0.021	-1.55100e+00	0.121
59	UtherPos_W	~~		Idiff_H	ccdll	0.032	0.021	1.55100e+00	0.121
60	SelfPos_H	~~		Tdiff_H	ccc12	0.002	0.018	1.24000e-01	0.901
61	SelfPos_W	~~		Tdiff_H	ccd12	-0.002	0.018	-1.24000e-01	0.901
62	SimHob_H	~~		Tdiff_H	ccc13	-0.012	0.026	-4.80000e-01	0.632
63	SimHob_W	~~		Tdiff_H	ccd13	0.012	0.026	4.80000e-01	0.632
64	Satisfaction_H	~~		Tdiff_H	ccc14	-0.064	0.015	-4.22100e+00	0.000
65	Satisfaction_W	~~		Tdiff_H	ccd14	0.064	0.015	4.22100e+00	0.000
66	mmm 1	==		2		0.000	0.000	NA	NA
67	ccc11	==		-ccd11		0.000	0.000	NA	NA
68	ccc12	==		-ccd12		0.000	0.000	NA	NA
69	ccc13	==		-ccd13		0.000	0.000	NA	NA
70	ccc14	==		-ccd14		0.000	0.000	NA	NA
	ci.lower ci.upp	per	std.lv	std.all					
1	4.201 4.3	326	4.264	8.568					
2	4.201 4.3	326	4.264	8.568					
3	0.207 0.2	289	0.248	1.000					
4	0.207 0.2	289	0.248	1.000					

5	0.016	0.098	0.057	0.232
6	4.138	4.235	4.186	10.167
7	4.138	4.235	4.186	10.167
8	0.142	0.197	0.170	1.000
9	0.142	0.197	0.170	1.000
10	-0.013	0.042	0.014	0.083
11	-0.005	0.161	0.078	0.120
12	-0.005	0.161	0.078	0.120
13	0.347	0.486	0.416	1.000
14	0.347	0.486	0.416	1.000
15	0.046	0.185	0.116	0.278
16	3.533	3.677	3.605	7.274
17	3.533	3.677	3.605	7.274
18	0.199	0.292	0.246	1.000
19	0.199	0.292	0.246	1.000
20	0 105	0 198	0 151	0 616
20	0.025	0.100	0.050	0.010
21	0.025	0.074	0.000	0.242
22	0.025	0.075	0.050	0.242
20	0.025	0.075		0.245
24	0.023	0.075	0.030	0.240
20	0.010	0.000	0.049	0.155
20	0.010	0.088	0.049	0.153
21	0.019	0.096	0.057	0.178
28	0.019	0.096	0.057	0.178
29	0.060	0.129	0.094	0.382
30	0.060	0.129	0.094	0.382
31	0.081	0.150	0.116	0.469
32	0.081	0.150	0.116	0.469
33	-0.030	0.032	0.001	0.004
34	-0.030	0.032	0.001	0.004
35	-0.015	0.047	0.016	0.060
36	-0.015	0.047	0.016	0.060
37	-0.012	0.037	0.012	0.060
38	-0.012	0.037	0.012	0.060
39	0.013	0.061	0.037	0.182
40	0.013	0.061	0.037	0.182
41	0.030	0.113	0.072	0.225
42	0.030	0.113	0.072	0.225
43	0.050	0.133	0.092	0.286
44	0.050	0.133	0.092	0.286
45	-1.371	1.136	-0.117	-0.015
46	45.675	72.582	59.128	1.000
47	0.024	1.002	0.513	0.134
48	0.024	1.002	0.513	0.134
49	-0.131	0.624	0.247	0.078
50	-0.131	0.624	0.247	0.078
51	-1.082	0.202	-0.440	-0.089
52	-1.082	0.202	-0.440	-0.089
53	-0.556	0.546	-0.005	-0.001
54	-0.556	0.546	-0.005	-0.001
55	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.488
56	0.499	0.794	0.646	1.000
57	-1.418	0.430	-0.494	-0.080
58	-0.072	0.008	-0.032	-0.080

-0.008	0.072	0.032	0.080
-0.034	0.038	0.002	0.007
-0.038	0.034	-0.002	-0.007
-0.062	0.038	-0.012	-0.024
-0.038	0.062	0.012	0.024
-0.094	-0.035	-0.064	-0.162
0.035	0.094	0.064	0.162
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.488
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	$\begin{array}{c} -0.008\\ -0.034\\ -0.038\\ -0.062\\ -0.038\\ -0.094\\ 0.035\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} -0.008 & 0.072 \\ -0.034 & 0.038 \\ -0.038 & 0.034 \\ -0.062 & 0.038 \\ -0.038 & 0.062 \\ -0.094 & -0.035 \\ 0.035 & 0.094 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$